



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,895	02/20/2004	Yusuke Ishihara	Q79853	4209
23373	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC	
2100 PENNS' SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037		- 3654	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/781,895	ISHIHARA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		John Q. Nguyen	3654					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
1		action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{E}$	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application							
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
1	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-14 is/are objected to.		•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🖾 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	a.					
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛭 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
i	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/04</u> .	6) Other:						
U.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 09192005	91.4				

Application/Control Number: 10/781,895

Art Unit: 3654

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that "54" (page 15, line 11) is incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For clarity and/or definiteness, it appears that –radially—should be inserted before "inward" (claim 2, line 2; claim 5), that –in a peripheral direction – should be inserted after "groove" (claim 9, last line), that –wall—should be inserted after "tubular" (claim 10, line 5) to provide antecedent basis.

The following are not clear: "concavo-convexly" (claim 10)

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,895

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morita (US-6680818). Note the engaging portion 27, braking member 4 with tubular wall portion 44, releasing member 63, and guide wall portion 21. Note the "concavo-convexly" form as shown in figs. 3, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 29, and 31.

Claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday, from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/781,895

Art Unit: 3654

Page 4

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654